

“Showrooming” and the Competition between Store and Online Retailers

Subodha Kumar

Mays Business School, Texas A&M University

Abstract:

Customers often evaluate products at brick-and-mortar stores to identify their “best fit” product but buy it at a competing online retailer. This free-riding behavior by customers is referred to as “showrooming.” We analyze three strategies brick-and-mortar stores could use to counter the effect of showrooming: (a) price matching, (b) creating an exclusive assortment so customers benefit less from showrooming, or create unique barcodes to increase the cost of product-matching, and (c) charging customers a fee for showrooming. We show that Strategy (a) is effective in improving profits only when the fraction of customers who seek price matching is high enough. Our analysis suggests that Strategy (b) is effective, and having an exclusive assortment is superior to having unique barcodes when product has more digital attributes. This explains why Macy’s finds the assortment differentiation effective and Costco uses unique barcodes to minimize showrooming. Strategy (c) can be implemented through an access fee, such as a parking charge, which is refunded only when store visitors purchase a product. We find that to maximize their profits, brick and mortar stores do not set a fee that is so high that it discourages everyone from showrooming.

Speaker’s Profile:

Subodha Kumar is an associate professor at Mays Business School, Texas A&M University. He has a PhD from Management Science and Information Systems, School of Management, University of Texas. He has a MBA from University of Texan and M. Tech from IIT Kanpur. His research interest include Supply chain management, Electronic commerce and online environment, Software management, data quality and data mining, Sequencing and scheduling. Click here for complete profile. <http://people.tamu.edu/~subodha/>.